

**REMARKS**

Applicant acknowledges receipt of the Examiner's Office Action dated August 9, 2005. The Office Action objected to the drawings under 37 C.F.R 1.83(a). More specifically, the Office Action indicates that the drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Applicant has amended the claims and figures. More specifically, the limitation "stripe unit B<sub>x</sub>" has been replaced with "first stripe unit." The "overwriting" limitation of claims 4, 26, and 36 are now shown in revised Fig. 3. Claims 6-12 are cancelled herein, but Applicant reserves the right to further prosecute these cancelled claims in a continuation application. The limitation "overwriting data of the first stripe unit with the copy of reconstructive data" as set forth in claims 17, 18, 30, and 31 now find support within the specification and Figure 3. Lastly, the specification has been updated to indicate that the present invention can be implemented in accordance with instructions stored on a computer readable medium such as memory 19, which is added to Figure 1. No new matter has been added to the figures or specification.

The Office Action also objected to the Specification as containing informalities which make the description less than clear. Applicant has amended the specification to overcome the objections noted in the Office Action. For example, Applicant has replaced the term "new data" within the specification with the term "reconstructed data" where appropriate. Applicant submits that this addresses the concern raised in the Office Action.


The Office Action rejected claims 1-38 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph. In rejecting these claims, the Office Action indicates that the term "new data" is not a term in the art. Applicant has replaced the term "new data" with "reconstructed data." Applicant asserts

that this amendment to the claims overcomes the Examiner's rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph.

Lastly, claims 19-22 and 33-37 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph. In rejecting these claims, the Office Action noticed several antecedent basis problems. Applicant has corrected these problems. Accordingly, Applicant submits that all claims are in compliance with 35 U.S.C. § 112.

CONCLUSION

Applicants submit that all claims are now in condition for allowance, and an early notice to that effect is earnestly solicited. Nonetheless, should any issues remain that might be subject to resolution through a telephonic interview, the Examiner is requested to telephone the undersigned.

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as First Class Mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop <u>Amendment</u> , Commissioner for Patents, P. O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia, 22313-1450, on <u>10/31/05</u> .	
 Attorney for Applicant(s)	<u>10/31/05</u> Date of Signature

Respectfully submitted,



Eric A. Stephenson  
Attorney for Applicant(s)  
Reg. No. 38,321  
Telephone: (512) 439-5093  
Facsimile: (512) 439-5099

**Amendments To The Drawings**

The attached sheets of drawings include changes to Figs. 1, 3, and 5. These sheets replace the original sheets including Figs. 1, 2, 4; 3; and 5. Figs. 1, 3, and 5 have been amended to conform more clearly with their corresponding detailed description.

Attachment: Replacement Sheets  
Annotated Sheets Showing Changes



1/4




FIG. 1




FIG. 2




FIG. 4

2/4




FIG. 3

3/4

FIG. 5

